

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/753,894	•	01/08/2004	Laurence W. Bassett	CUNO-170.3	CUNO-170.3 8174	
45017	7590	09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
CUNO INC			SAVAGE, M.	SAVAGE, MATTHEW O		
400 RESEA P. O. BOX		RKWAY .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MERIDEN,	CT 064	50-1018		1724		
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2009	ς .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/753,894	BASSETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O. Savage	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DIVIS SET TO EVOIDE 2 M	ONTHIC) OR THIRTY (30) DA	ve
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 June 2005.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 43,45-54 and 56-64 is/are pending	g in the application.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(\$) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 43, 45-54, and 56-64 is/are rejected	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum2. Certified copies of the priority docum		polication No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum			•
application from the International Bu	·	received in this National Stage	,
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
	·		
AM-ah			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(/08) 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

Application/Control Number: 10/753,894

Art Unit: 1724

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities.

With respect to the section "Cross Reference to Related Applications", the status of each listed application should be indicated. It is suggested that the section be amended as follows: -- The subject application is a divisional of 10/208,492 filed July 30, 2003, now abandoned, which is a continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 09/553,982 filed April 20, 2000, now U.S. Patent 6,458,269, and are hereby incorporated by reference. --.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The limitations added to lines 6-8 of claims 43 and 54 lack antecedence in the specification. It is suggested the phrase -- that are axially spaced from the body portion and face toward the body portion in a generally axial direction – be inserted on line 18 of page 11 of the specification after "64" to obviate the objection.

The limitations on lines 9-11 of claims 43 and 54 lack antecedence in the specification. It is suggested that the phrases -- Each of the lugs has engagement surfaces which face away from the body portion. At least one of the engagement surfaces defines a keyed surface formation including at least one tooth or protrusion axially extending from remaining portions of the engagement surfaces relative to the neck which enables the cartridge to mate with a compatible reception assembly. – be

Art Unit: 1724

inserted on line 20 of page 12 of the specification after "valve member 26" to obviate the objection.

The limitations of claims 46 and 57 lack antecedence in the specification. It is suggested that the phrase -- substantially similar to or – be inserted on line 13 of page 13 of the specification before "different" to obviate the objection.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Člaims 43, 45-54, and 56-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 9 of claim 43 and lines 8-9 of claim 54, "the compatible" lacks antecedent basis. It is suggested that "the compatible" be changed to —a compatible--.

On line 10 of claims 43 and 54, "the remaining portions" lack antecedent basis. It is suggested that "the remaining portions" be changed to –remaining portions--.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Glaims 43, 45, 46, 48, 51-54, 56, 57, 59, and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,806,240 to Giordano et al in view of U.S. Patent 3,746,171 to Thomsen.

With respect to claims 43 and 54, Giordano et al disclose a filter cartridge 100 (see FIG. 3) including a body portion 110 for enclosing filter media for filtering a fluid, and a neck portion 160 including an inlet port 204 for directing unfiltered fluid into the body portion and an outlet port 206 for directing filtered fluid out of the body portion, the neck portion having at least two lugs 172 depending radially outwardly therefrom, each lug having radial and axial engagement surfaces (e.g., at an upper surface and the radial end surface of the lugs 172) which face away from the body portion, wherein each lug has a cam surface axially spaced from the body portion and facing toward the body portion in a generally axial direction for cooperating with camming ramps 93, 95 on the compatible reception assembly 90, at least one of the engagement surfaces defining a keyed surface formation including at least one tooth or protrusion extending axially from the remaining portions of the engagement surfaces relative to the neck (see the bosses at on the upper surface at the outer radially ends of the lugs 172 in FIGS. 3, 5, and 6) which enables the cartridge to mate with a compatible reception assembly. Giordano et al fail to specify the cam surfaces of the lugs as being inclined. Thomsen, the specification of which is incorporated by reference by Giordano et al (see lines 62-65 of col. 3), discloses a pair of lugs 75 having rounded ends that inherently form inclined cam surfaces (see FIG. 5 of Thomsen. Thomsen suggests that the rounded ends/cam surfaces facilitate insertion of the lugs into the grooves of the associated reception

Application/Control Number: 10/753,894

Art Unit: 1724

assembly. It would have been obvious to have modified the apparatus of Giordano et al so as to have included lugs having inclined cam surfaces as suggested by Thomsen in order to facilitate insertion of the lugs into grooves of an associated reception assembly.

As to claims 45 and 56, Giordano et al disclose each lug 172 as having an engagement surface defining a keyed surface formation (see FIG. 3).

Regarding claims 46 and 57, Giordano et al disclose the keyed surface formation on each lug of the cartridge is substantially similar (see FIG. 3).

Concerning claims 48 and 59, Giordano et al disclose the neck portion as having a pair of diametrically opposed lugs 172 (see FIG. 3).

As to claims 51 and 62, Giordano et al include a keyed surface formation on an axially facing engagement surface of the lug (see FIG. 3).

Regarding claims 52 and 63, Giordano et al include a keyed surface formation on a radially facing engagement surface of the lug (e.g., the convex surface of the 172 lug).

Concerning claims 53 and 64, Giordano et al disclose a first portion of the keyed surface on an axially facing engagement surface of the lug (e.g., the entire upper axial surface) and a second portion of the keyed surface formation as being on a radially facing engagement surface of the lug (e.g., the entire curved radial end surface of the lug).

Claims 49 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giordano et al in view of Thomsen as applied to claims 43 or 54 above, and furtherin view of Groezinger et al.

With respect to claims 49 and 60, Giordano et al and Thomsen fail to specify the neck portion as having three circumferentially spaced apart lugs, however, Groezinger et al disclose just such an arrangement (see FIGS. 1 and 2 and the lugs 42). Groezinger et al suggest that such an arrangement increases the strength and stability of the connection between the filter and a reception assembly. It would have been obvious to have modified the combination suggested by Giordano et al and Thomsen so as to have included the three lug arrangement as suggested by Groezinger et al in order to increase the stability and strength of the connection between the filter and reception assembly.

Claims 47, 53, 58, and 61 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew O. Savage whose telephone number is (571) 272-1146. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,894

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Savorando Matthew O Savage Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

Page 7

mos September 6, 2005